

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,893	08/28/2003	Andreas Schell	706716US1	8717
24938 7	590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER	
	HRYSLER INTELLE	LANGEL, WAYNE A		
	CIMS 483-02-19 800 CHRYSLER DR EAST AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326-2757			PAPER NUMBER
AUBURN HIL				
				DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/650,893	SCHELL ET AL.			
		Examiner	. Art Unit			
		Wayne Langel	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		·			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10,12 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/650,893

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Petillo et al. See the Abstract and Fig. 5.

Claims 2 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petillo et al in view of Taschek. Petillo et al disclose a method for producing hydrogen by reacting a metal hydride fuel with steam, wherein the metal hydride is mixed with water in step 504 to form a mixed solution. (See the Abstract and Fig. 5.) The difference between the process disclosed by Petillo et al, and that recited in claims 2 and 10, is that claims 2 and 10 require that steam be transported into the mixing chamber, whereas Petillo et al disclose thet the sodium borohydride is mixed with water in mixing step 504. It would be obvious from Taschek to modify the process of Petillo et al by mixing steam, rather than water, with the sodium borohydride in mixing step 504, since it is clear from Paragragh [0044] of Petillo et al that the water is converted to steam

Application/Control Number: 10/650,893

Art Unit: 1754

before reacting with the borohydride, and one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the steam could be formed before, rather than during, the hydrolysis step, in that Taschek establishes the equivalence between water and water vapor for reacting with metal hydrides to form hydrogen at col. 1, lines 33-54...

Claims 3-8, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petillo et al in view of Taschek as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Kojima et al, even further in view of Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook. It would be further obvious from Kojima et al in view of Perry's to employ a screw drive transporter to transport the metal hydride fuel, since Kojima et al disclose in Paragragh [0017] that a means for supplying the complex metal hydride should be provided in an apparatus for generating hydrogen by reacting a metal hydride with water, and Perry's discloses the various advantages of employing screw conveyors on pages 7-4 to 7-5.

Claims 11 and 14 are objected to as based on rejected parent claims, and would be allowed if written in independent form.

Kravitz et al is made of record for disclosing the generation of hydrogen by reacting sodium borohydride powder with water.

The other references are made of record for disclosing the reaction between metal hydrides with water to form hydrogen.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8 to 3:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,893 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wayne Langel
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1754